UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,833	10/575,833 04/13/2006 Franz Amtmann		AT030058US1	5661
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 04/28/201	EXAMINER		
	ECTUAL PROPERTY	BUGG, GEORGE A		
1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95131	2612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applica		licant(s)			
		10/575,8	33	AMTMANN ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		GEORGE	A. BUGG	2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING USING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TI FR 1.136(a). In no event on. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be ting the control of the control o	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 February 20	<u>10</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)\(\bigsim \) 6)\(\subseteq \) 7)\(\subseteq \)	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9 and 12-14</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the content of the cont	hdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/575,833 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the processing steps of claim 7 must be shown, in the form of a flow chart or block diagram depicting the communication process of the system, or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,833 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 4 and 10, as well as claims 5 and 11 based on their dependencies

thereto, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

4. Claim 4 and 10 both recite the limitation "the memorized information unit" in the

2nd to last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the

claim. Since claims 5 and 11 depend from claims 4 and 10 respectively, they are

rejected as well.

Double Patenting

5. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of

claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in

content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is

proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of

the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,833 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 02/26/2010 with respect to the claim rejections shown above have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, with regard to claims 4 and 10, since more than one piece of information is being stored in more than one location – the language should be identical to maintain consistency in the claim to avoid any and all confusion that may arise.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GEORGE A. BUGG whose telephone number is

(571)272-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George A Bugg Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

April 24, 2010

/George A Bugg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612